52



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,200	03/20/2001	Douglas C. Dahlby	015685.P095	1747
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Gordon R. Lindeen III			VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT	
BLAKELY, SC	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	AFMAN LLP	<u></u>	
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2661	
Los Angeles, C	Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/813,200	DAHLBY ET AL.			
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David R Vincent	2661			
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STAT THE MAILING DATE ( - Extensions of time may be avafter SIX (6) MONTHS from to - If the period for reply specific - If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. railable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 he mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply field above, the maximum statutory period v or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE of date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FII	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3) Since this applic						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23,25</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>24 and</u>	5,26 and 28 is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
=	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
*	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Som  1. Certified of  2. Certified of  3. Copies of  application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document the certified copies of the prio n from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cite	d (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) —-	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2661

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American

Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual

Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do

not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly

Art Unit: 2661

or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-23, 25-26, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gorsuch (US 6,081,536).

As shown in Figs. 1-7, Gorsuch discloses a wireless system (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 28-48), dynamically allocating streams (col. 2, lines 2-59, especially lines 31-34; col. 4, lines 26-32), based on capacity (col. 2, lines 2-59, especially lines 31-34; col. 4, lines 26-32; col. 6, lines 4-14; col. 6, lines 44-60; col. 7, lines 50-56; col. 8, line 16-col. 9, line 30), open

Art Unit: 2661

streams before allocating (CDMA system sets up call set up procedures using e.g., Q.921, Q.931, col. 5, lines 9-20 and registration and control signaling procedures with base station(s), col. 2, lines 46-64; col. 7, lines 50-56; col. 8, lines 44-67), comparing to capacity (dynamically allocating and using the BW management function 134, cols. 4-9; col. 6, lines 44-60; using setup algorithms, col. 7, lines 40-55), comparing depth of queue (col. 6, lines 1-14; col. 8, line 16-col. 9, line 30), receiving messages from higher layers (Fig. 1 indicates the higher layers are used and theses are simply protocols which comply with the OSI model wherein higher layers send messages to lower layers and visa versa, col. 5, lines 5-32; col. 7, lines 23-56), creating packets and/or reassembling at the destination (using protocols as shown in Fig. 1 means packets are used and reassembled, e.g., col. 7, lines 50-56; Fig. 4 discloses the MUX), registering (CDMA system sets up call set up procedures using e.g., Q.921, Q.931, col. 5, lines 9-20 and registration and control signaling procedures with base station(s), col. 2, lines 46-64; col. 7, lines 50-56; col. 8, lines 44-67), distributing between streams (Fig. 4 and respective disclosure of using a plurality of subchannels), buffer thresholds (col. 6, lines 1-14; col. 8, line 14-col. 9, line 30, especially col. 9, lines 9-20), predicting the amount of data to be carried (using

Application/Control Number: 09/813,200 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

the setup procedures, col. 7, lines 23-56, using an estimate, col. 7, lines 50-56 or monitoring the queues Fig. 5), transmit queue reports (sending commands and indicating status of transmit queues and how much data if any is in queue and if more or less channels are needed, cols. 4-9; especially col. 7, lines 6-56), as specified in claims 1-23, 25-26, and 28.

3. Claims 24, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2661

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David R Vincent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2661

July 27, 2004